PROPOSED 2003 CITY OF SEATAC COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS FINAL DOCKET STAFF REPORT

Final Docket Criteria: Proposal/Existing/Applicant	May Satisfy Concurrency for Transportation and Utilities and does not Adversely Affect other Adopted LOS standards	No Impacts to Housing, Transportation, Capital Facilities, Utilities, Parks or Environmental Fe a- tures that Cannot be Mitigated	Will not Result in Development that Adversely Affects Public Health, Safety and Welfare	Consistent with Other Elements of the Comprehen- sive Plan and other Applicable Policies and Agreements	Based Upon New Informa- tion or Changed Cir- cumstances Since Plan Adoption	Will not Prevent the City's Population and Employment Targets, under GMA, from being reached	Recommendation
 Map Amendment #1 Proposal: Revise Phasing Map, in two areas: Map # 1-A shows the proposed change in the S. 170th St./32nd Ave. S area; Map 1-B shows the proposed change in the southeast corner of the City. Applicant: City of SeaTac 	No effect on concurrency or LOS standards	None Requires or- derly transition of residential area to commercial use.	Will not adversely affect public health, safety or welfare.	Consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies.	Recognizes changing de- velopment pres- sures in this area	Will not prevent the City's Population or Employment targets from being reached.	Planning Commission: Pending Staff: Adopt
Map Amendment #2 Proposal: Update "Existing Land Use" Map with current information. Applicant: City of SeaTac	No effect on concurrency or LOS standards	None	Will not adversely affect public health, safety or welfare.	Consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies.	Yes. Land uses have changed in some areas since existing map was devel- oped in 1993	Will not prevent the City's Population or Employment targets from being reached.	Planning Commission: Pending Staff: Adopt

Final Docket Criteria: Proposal/Existing/Applicant	May Satisfy Concurrency for Transportation and Utilities and does not Adversely Affect other Adopted LOS standards	No Impacts to Housing, Transportation, Capital Facilities, Utilities, Parks or Environmental Fe atures that Cannot be Mitigated	Will not Result in Development that Adversely Affects Public Health, Safety and Welfare	Consistent with Other Elements of the Comprehen- sive Plan and other Applicable Policies and Agreements	Based Upon New Informa- tion or Changed Cir- cumstances Since Plan Adoption	Will not Prevent the City's Population and Employment Targets, under GMA, from being reached	Recommendation
Land Use Element – Text Amendment #1 Proposal: Complete revision of growth forecasts and make related text changes. Applicant: City of SeaTac	No effect on concurrency or LOS standards	None	Will not adversely affect public health, safety or welfare.	Consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies.	Based on new state growth projection, and new PSRC fore- casts.	Will not prevent the City's Population or Employment targets from being reached.	Planning Commission: Pending Staff: Adopt
Housing & Neighborhoods Element – Text Amendment #2 Proposal: Complete Housing needs assessment and make related text changes. Applicant: City of SeaTac	No effect on concurrency or LOS standards	None	Will not adversely affect public health, safety or welfare.	Consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies.	Based on new state growth projection, and new PSRC fore- casts.	Will not prevent the City's Population or Employment targets from being reached.	Planning Commission: Pending Staff: Adopt
Capital Facilities Element – Text Amendment #3 Proposal: Update Capital Facilities Background Report (6-year CFP). Applicant: City of SeaTac	Updates plan to maintain LOS standards.	None	Will not adversely affect public health, safety, or welfare.	Consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies.	Annual update. Incorporates now population forecast data.	Will not prevent the City's Population or Employment targets from being reached.	Planning Commission: Pending Staff: Adopt

Final Docket Criteria: Proposal/Existing/Applicant	May Satisfy Concurrency for Transportation and Utilities and does not Adversely Affect other Adopted LOS standards	No Impacts to Housing, Transportation, Capital Facilities, Utilities, Parks or Environmental Fe atures that Cannot be Mitigated	Will not Result in Development that Adversely Affects Public Health, Safety and Welfare	Consistent with Other Elements of the Comprehen- sive Plan and other Applicable Policies and Agreements	Based Upon New Informa- tion or Changed Cir- cumstances Since Plan Adoption	Will not Prevent the City's Population and Employment Targets, under GMA, from being reached	Recommendation
Capital Facilities Element – Text Amendment #4 Proposal: Amend Policy 4.1B (LOS Standards) for Picnic areas and Skateboard Parks. Applicant: City of SeaTac	No effect on other adopted LOS stan- dards	None	Will not adversely affect public health, safety, or welfare.	Consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies.	Based on re- inventory of facilities (Picnic areas) and cor- rection of over- sight (Skate- board Parks)	Will not prevent the City's Population or Employment targets from being reached.	Planning Commission: Pending Staff: Adopt
Environmental Management Element – Text Amendment #5 Proposal: Include discussion and sources of best available science used to implement Critical Areas goals and policies. Applicant: City of SeaTac	No effect on con- currency or LOS stan- dards	None	Will not adversely affect public health, safety, or welfare.	Consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies.	Based on new state growth projection, and new PSRC fore- casts.	Will not prevent the City's Population or Employment targets from being reached.	Planning Commission: Pending Staff: Adopt
Economic Vitality Element – Text Amendment #6 Proposal: Amend Economic Vitality Element to incorporate Economic Development Work Plan from STEP/Tourism efforts. Applicant: City of SeaTac	No effect on concurrency or LOS standards	None	Will not adversely affect public health, safety, or welfare.	Consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies.	Incorporates Recent STEP work	Should help achieve City's Employment Targets.A	Planning Commission: Pending Staff: Postpone to 2004

Final Docket Criteria: Proposal/Existing/Applicant	May Satisfy Con- currency for Trans- portation and Utili- ties and does not Adversely Affect other Adopted LOS standards	No Impacts to Housing, Transportation, Capital Facilities, Utilities, Parks or Environmental Features that Cannot be Mitigated	Will not Result in Development that Adversely Affects Public Health, Safety and Welfare	Consistent with Other Elements of the Comprehen- sive Plan and other Applicable Policies and Agreements	Based Upon New Informa- tion or Changed Cir- cumstances Since Plan Adoption	Will not Prevent the City's Population and Employment Targets, under GMA, from being reached	Recommendation
Utilities Element – Text Amendment #7 Proposal: Incorporate new demographic data into Background Report to update demand/capacity analyses; make related text amendments. Applicant: City of SeaTac	No effect on concurrency or LOS standards	May affect Utility System needs.	Will not adversely affect public health, safety, or welfare.	Consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies.	Based on new state growth projection, and new PSRC fore- casts.	Will not prevent the City's Population or Employment targets from being reached.	Planning Commission: Pending Staff: Postpone to 2004
Introduction – Text Amendment #8 Proposal: Update Demographic Summary with U.S. Census and forecast information; make related text changes. Applicant: City of SeaTac	No effect on concurrency or LOS standards	None	Will not adversely affect public health, safety, or welfare.	Consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies.	Incorporates new state growth projec- tion, and new PSRC forecasts.	Should help achieve em- ployment tar- gets within the Urban Center.	Planning Commission: Pending Staff: Adopt
All Elements – Text Amendment #9 Proposal: Update Strategies to indicate completed strategies, and update timing when necessary. Applicant: City of SeaTac	No effect on concurrency or LOS standards	None	Will not adversely affect public health, safety or welfare.	Consistent with Comprehensive Plan Policies.	Updates City implementation actions taken to date.	Will help achieve popu- lation targets.	Planning Commission: Pending Staff: Adopt

Notes

All proposed amendments which are included in the Final Docket shall be evaluated according to the following criteria:

- 1. The proposal may satisfy concurrency requirements for transportation, utilities, and does not adversely affect other adopted Level of Service standards; and
- 2. Following SEPA review, the proposal will not result in impacts to housing, transportation, capital facilities, utilities, parks or environmental features that cannot be mitigated; and
- 3. The proposal will not result in development that adversely affects public health, safety and welfare; and
- 4. The proposal is consistent with other elements of the Comprehensive Plan and other applicable policies and agreements; and
- 5. The proposal is based upon information not available during adoption of the Plan or is based upon changed circumstances since Plan adoption; and
- 6. The proposal will not prevent the City's population and employment targets, under GMA, from being achieved.